WWW.JOCMR.COM

Evaluating the Role of Hysteroscopy in the Success Rate of In-Vitro Fertilization

Atosa Jafari¹, Parvin Hakimi^{1*}, Laya Farzadi¹, Aliyeh Ghasemzadeh¹, Kobra Hamdi¹, Nazli Navali¹, Hosein Azizi¹

Women's Reproductive Health Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: High in-vitro fertilization (IVF) failure risk in patients with asymptomatic intrauterine pathologies can be diminished with the use of hysteroscopy. This study assesses the impact of pre-IVF hysteroscopy on IVF success in women without infertility pathology and with no history of previous IVF.

Methods and Materials: In a randomized clinical trial involving 168 women with infertility history who intended IVF, participants were allocated into intervention and control groups using Balanced Block Randomization. Hysteroscopy, performed 1-2 months prior to embryo transfer, was the intervention. IVF procedures were consistent between groups. Categorical variables were chi-square tested; quantitative ones underwent independent t-tests. Multiple logistic model was used to detect significant factors affecting pregnancy outcome. STATA V.17.0 was use for data cleaning and analysis. Results: Mean age was 31 (±5.02) in the intervention group and 31.14 (±5.10) in the control group (p=0.897). BMI, infertility duration, ovocytes count, hMG injections, and other factors showed no significant between group differences (p>0.05). Finally, 45 (53.57%) women in intervention group had positive βhCG test, compared to the controls (31, 36.90%, p-value = 0.030). Also, the number of positive clinical pregnancies was significantly higher (p-value = 0.045) among the intervention group (32, 38.10%) compared to the control group (20, 23.81%). Multiple logistic regression showed hysteroscopy increased odds of positive clinical pregnancy [aOR: 3.42 (95% CI: 1.18 - 9.96), p=0.024].

Conclusion: Based on our randomized clinical trial hysteroscopy significantly raised the odds of positive clinical pregnancy. This highlights hysteroscopy's potential role in improving successful pregnancy outcomes. These findings offer crucial insights for clinicians and patients in fertility treatments.

Corresponding Author e-mail: parvin.hakimi56@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Jafari A, Hakimi P, Farzadi L, Ghasemzadeh A, Hamdi K, Navali N, Azizi H (2024), Evaluating the Role of Hysteroscopy in the Success Rate of In-Vitro Fertilization. Journal of Complementary Medicine Research, Vol. 15, No. 1, 2024 (pp. 1-6).

INTRODUCTION

Infertility is defined as the absence of a chemical pregnancy following 12 months of regular unprotected intercourse due to impaired reproductive capacity in either the individual or their partner. This clinical challenge impacts 13-15% of couples worldwide. A recent study detailing infertility prevalence across 195 countries from 1990 to 2017 revealed a global increase, rising from 1,366.85 cases per 100,000 in 1990 to 1,571.35 cases per 100,000 in 2017, marking a surge of 14.962% (1-4). Assisted reproductive technologies have expanded globally to aid infertile couples, yet despite their considerable costs, success rates remain modest. According to a Centres for Disease Control and Prevention report, the implantation rate and successful fetal births stand at only about 34%, varying at 43% for patients aged 35-37, 35.8% for those aged 38-40, and 24.9% for individuals aged 41-42. Implantation failure can stem from various reasons, encompassing embryo quality and endometrial receptivity, with unknown causes accounting for numerous cases (5-9).

Efforts to enhance embryo transfer and culture conditions or select blastocysts have managed to improve pregnancy rates, but these improvements have not exceeded a 40% to 50% increase. As we know, pregnancy rates can be affected by intrauterine pathologies. As a result, assessing the intrauterine environment is crucial to optimizing the implantation rate of high-quality embryos (10-14). Hysteroscopy is believed to enhance pregnancy rates in women undergoing IVF by identifying and surgically addressing abnormalities in the uterine cavity, facilitating the dilation of the cervical canal for subsequent embryo transfer, or inducing an inflammatory response in the endometrium as a result of the procedure (10-14).

KEYWORDS: In-vitro fertilization, infertility, hysteroscopy, assisted reproductive technology.

ARTICLE HISTORY: Received: Oct 14, 2023 Accepted: Nov 11, 2023 Published: Dec 09, 2023

DOI:

10.5455/jcmr.2024.15.01.01

Hysteroscopy stands as the gold-standard test for evaluating intrauterine pathologies. This technique enables the diagnosis of abnormalities such as intrauterine adhesions, endometrial polyps, submucosal fibroids, endometritis, or structural uterine irregularities. Through direct observation of the cervix and the interior of the uterus, hysteroscopy facilitates both diagnosis and concurrent corrective interventions when required (15-17). Furthermore, it serves as a means for performing biopsies. Notably, the treatment of intrauterine pathologies via hysteroscopy has been shown to result in enhanced reproductive outcomes, as intrauterine lesions can have a detrimental impact on implantation rates. Numerous studies have comprehensively documented the advantages of using interventional hysteroscopy to address intrauterine pathologies (18-21).

To mitigate embryo loss and IVF failures attributable to intrauterine pathologies, curbing the advancement of such disorders represents a widespread approach in global public health policies. This is especially salient due to the elevated likelihood of IVF failure in patients with asymptomatic intrauterine pathologies. The principal aim of this study is to examine the impact of conducting hysteroscopy prior to the initial IVF cycle on the efficacy of IVF treatment in women without any established infertility pathology.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study design and setting

In our randomised clinical trial, we included 168 women with history of infertility who intended to undergo IVF. The inclusion criteria were primary infertility, age under 40 years, body mass index ranging from 19 to 35 kg/m², and couples who underwent one year of infertility-cause investigation without identifying any specific reason for infertility (unexplained infertility). The criteria for infertility without identifiable pathology encompassed the following: a spermogram exhibiting normality as per WHO reference values (22), confirmation of open fallopian tubes through HSG (hysterosalpingography), clinical and ultrasound validation of ovulation, and a minimum count of 5 antral follicles.

The exclusion criteria were abnormal spermogram, reduction of ovarian reserve, which is defined as the total number of antral follicles less than 5 in transvaginal ultrasound, pathology in the uterus and fallopian tubes diagnosed by HSG, history of endometrial surgery, previous IVF history.

Study groups and Randomization

Participants were allocated into two intervention and control groups using the Balanced Block Randomization method. This approach ensured a random distribution of individuals into the study groups while preventing any imbalances between them. With regards to pertinent variables influencing the study process, there were 33 blocks, each containing 4 individuals. The sequence of participant enrolment dictated their placement within their respective groups. Given that this study was surgical in nature, blinding of both the researcher and patients was not feasible. The intervention involved hysteroscopy, performed by a surgeon during the initial stages of the follicular phase of the menstrual cycle, approximately 1 to 2 months prior to embryo transfer.

The IVF procedure was similar in both groups. IVF was carried out by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) method and all

the embryos were freshly frozen immediately afterwards. Starting from the third day of menstruation, two tablets of letrozole (2.5 mg) were administered daily until the day of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) injection. Additionally, from the third day of letrozole initiation, daily doses of Cinnal-F (follitropin alfa, Cinnagen Company, Tehran, Iran) (150-300 mg) were given until the hCG injection day. Subsequently, starting from the second day of Cinnal-F injection, one or two menotropin injections were administered daily until the hCG injection day. When the leading follicle reached a size of 14 mm, a daily dose of one Cetrorelix (250 mg) was initiated. Upon the diagnosis of at least 2 to 3 follicles of 18 mm through ultrasound, egg release was stimulated using 10,000 units of hCG and two ampoules of 0.1 mg decapeptyl. Ovocytes retrieval was carried out 36 hours after the initial trigger assisted by vaginal ultrasound. Within one to two cycles following hysteroscopy, the patient began taking 6 mg of estradiol daily from the second day of the menstrual cycle. When the endometrial thickness reached a minimum of 7 to 8 mm, the patient received intramuscular progesterone at a dose of 50-100 mg daily for 4 days. On the fourth day of progesterone injection, the patient underwent the frozen transfer of two 3-day-old embryos (cleavage). Among the intervention group, the embryos were transferred two months after the hysteroscopy.

Statistical Analysis

Variables were assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to ascertain their normal distribution. Descriptive data analysis was conducted, presenting mean and standard deviation for variables demonstrating normal distribution. Categorical and qualitative variables were compared using the chi-square test, while quantitative variables were subjected to either the independent t-test (in cases of normality) or the Mann-Whitney test (in cases of non-normality). Univariate analysis identified potential factors. Several variables, including female age, antral follicle count, duration of infertility, BMI, total number of retrieved oocytes, total number of transferred embryos, and number of embryo transfer were entered into logistic regression analysis to estimate adjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. P-values less than 0.05 were considered as statically significant. STATA V.17.0 was used for data cleaning and data analysis.

Ethical Considerations

All participants were ensured that their involvement in the study was purely for research purposes, and their identities would remain confidential. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, who willingly and satisfactorily answered the research questions. This study received ethical approval under the code IR.TBZMED.REC.1402.509 from the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

RESULTS

Overall, 168 participants were included in our randomized clinical trial study. The mean age among the intervention group was 31 (\pm 5.02) while the mean age among the control group was 31.14 (\pm 5.10) with no significant difference (p-value = 0.897). Further information is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Difference of pre-insemination variable between the study groups at baseline

	Hysteroscopy Group (n = 84)	Control Group (n = 84)	p-value	
Age	31±5.02	31.14±5.10	0.897	
BMI	26.21±2.57	26±2.61	0.705	
Infertility Duration (years)	4.38±2.33	4.21±2.12	0.733	
Number of HMG injections	6.09±1.20	5.95±1.03	0.561	
Ovulation Induction (days)	12.33±0.72	12.5±0.70	0.288	
Number of Ovs*	12.50±4.60	11.78±4.11	0.455	
Number of GVs**	4.21±2.19	3.92±2.29	0.557	
Number of M2s***	8.09±4.06	7.78±3.47	0.708	
*Ovocytes; **germinal stage ovocytes; *	**metaphase-2 stage ovocytes		•	

Overall, 45 (53.57%) of the intervention groups had positive BhCG test, while 31 (36.90%) of the control group had positive BhCG test (Table 2). There number of positive BhCG test was significantly higher (p-value = 0.0.30) among the intervention

group. Also, the number of positive clinical pregnancies was significantly higher (p-value = 0.045) among the intervention group (32, 38.10%) compared to the control group (20, 23.81%).

Table 2. Pregnancy outcomes among the intervention and control groups

		Hyster (n, %)	roscopy Group	Contr (n, %)	ol Group	p-value
OHSS*	No	72	85.71%	75	89.29%	0.484
	Yes	12	14.29%	9	10.71%	0.404
Chemical Pregnancy	Negative	39	46.43%	53	63.10%	0.030
	Positive	45	53.57%	31	36.90%	0.030
Clinical Pregnancy	Negative	52	61.90%	64	76.19%	0.045
	Positive	32	38.10%	20	23.81%	0.045
*Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome						

Based on the multivariate logistic regression model (Table 3), hysteroscopy increased the odds of positive clinical pregnancy [OR: 3.42 (95% CI: 1.18 - 9.96), p-value = 0.024]. Also, higher age increased the odds of positive clinical pregnancy [OR: 1.17]

(95% CI: 1.02 - 1.36), p-value = 0.024] while higher BMI lowered the odds of positive clinical pregnancy [OR: 0.74 (95% CI: 0.59 - 0.94), p-value = 0.014].

Table 3. Adjusted OR of the factors affecting clinical pregnancy outcome

	Odds ratios (CI*)	Odds ratios (CI*)		
Hysteroscopy	No**	No** 1 (-)		
	Yes***	3.42 (1.18 - 9.96)	0.024	
Age	1.17 (1.02 - 1.36)	1.17 (1.02 - 1.36)		
Number of Ovs [†]	0.93 (0.52 - 1.66)	0.93 (0.52 - 1.66)		
Number of GVs ^{↑↑}	1.13 (0.64 - 1.98)	1.13 (0.64 - 1.98)		
Number of M2s ^{††}	1.39 (0.78 - 2.49)	1.39 (0.78 - 2.49)		
Comorbidity	No	1 (-)	0.434	
	Yes	1.81 (0.40 - 8.10)	0.434	
Ovulation Induction (days)	2.17 (0.89 - 5.30)	2.17 (0.89 - 5.30)		
BMI	0.74 (0.59 - 0.94)	0.74 (0.59 - 0.94)		
OHSS‡	No	1 (-)	0.505	
	Yes	0.49 (0.06 - 3.93)	0.303	

*Confidence Interval; **Control group; *** Hysteroscopy group; †ovocytes; ††germinal stage ovocytes; ††metaphase-2 stage ovocytes; †ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome

DISCUSSION

Based on the results of our randomized clinical trial study, regarding the effect of hysteroscopy prior to in-vitro fertilisation, we found that hysteroscopy, significantly improves the odds of IVF success rate. The IVF success rate is also significantly associated with higher age and lower BMI. Our study is among the few randomised trials to investigate such an association.

Currently, there lacks substantial high-quality evidence endorsing the routine use of hysteroscopy as a preliminary screening tool before IVF/ICSI. While other imaging methods like hysterosalpingogram or transvaginal scans are more accessible, hysteroscopy provides a more precise visual appraisal of the endometrial cavity and offers the opportunity for suitable therapeutic interventions (23-25). Concerns against hysteroscopy include its invasive nature and uncertainty about the clinical relevance of identified intrauterine issues to fertility (26, 27). The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guidelines suggest that hysteroscopy is unnecessary unless it's essential for confirming and addressing questionable intrauterine pathology (28). Nonetheless, it's worth noting that hysteroscopy is a minimally invasive procedure with minimal technical failure rates, commonly conducted on an outpatient basis without hospitalization or anaesthesia requirements (29, 30). This study indicates that women undergoing their second IVF/ICSI attempt after hysteroscopy tend to achieve improved pregnancy rates.

Previous research has demonstrated a positive impact of hysteroscopy on in vitro fertilization outcomes, advocating for the inclusion of hysteroscopy before costly procedures like assisted reproduction (31, 32). The study revealed that 21.1% of patients had identified abnormalities requiring treatment prior to IVF/ICSI. In 2014, a meta-analysis indicated enhanced live birth rates following hysteroscopy for women undergoing their first IVF cycle (33). Nevertheless, contrasting findings have emerged, with some researchers suggesting the benefits of routine hysteroscopy primarily for women aged 40 and above (24, 34).

The potential benefits of hysteroscopy seem to correlate with the proportion of women within the studied population who exhibit identifiable pathology during hysteroscopy (HSC). Notably, women aged over 40 years have demonstrated a higher likelihood of endometrial issues, such as submucous myoma, endometrial hyperplasia, and polyps (35). This demographic might constitute a suitable target group for such intervention. Similarly, a comparable trend has been observed in women above 35 years of age (36). Conversely, findings from the TROPHY trial suggest that women with 2 to 4 unsuccessful IVF cycles do not experience enhanced live birth rates following hysteroscopy. Another randomized trial involving 750 patients undergoing their initial IVF cycle concluded that hysteroscopy did not yield improved live birth rates among women with normal transvaginal ultrasound results (27, 37, 38).

The conflicting outcomes observed in studies assessing the efficacy of hysteroscopy prior to IVF or ICSI cycles can be attributed to methodological limitations and a deficiency in study quality. This viewpoint finds support in a recent meta-analysis available in the Cochrane database. In this analysis, the viability of routine hysteroscopy in sub-fertile women undergoing infertility assessment and those scheduled for intrauterine insemination or IVF was explored. Through the examination of 11 publications, the researchers concluded that no study provided substantial evidence to advocate for

hysteroscopy as a screening technique among sub-fertile women with a normal basic fertility assessment, for the enhancement of live birth and clinical pregnancy rates (23, 39).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our randomized clinical trial involving 168 participants revealed that hysteroscopy was associated with a significant increase in the odds of positive clinical pregnancy. This finding underscores the potential utility of hysteroscopy as a contributing factor in enhancing the likelihood of achieving a successful clinical pregnancy outcome. Additionally, the analysis indicated that advanced age was linked to increased odds of positive clinical pregnancy, while higher BMI was associated with reduced odds of positive clinical pregnancy. These insights provide valuable considerations for clinicians and patients in the context of fertility treatments and underscore the need for further investigation into the impact of hysteroscopy on pregnancy outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge the support of the "Clinical Research Development Unit, Al-Zahra Hospital," Tabriz University of Medical Sciences.

REFERENCES

- Saleh DM, El Ashal G, Elsherif S, Awad SS, Mahmoud MM, Gohar MS, et al. Routine use of hysteroscopy before in-vitro fertilization: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evidence Based Women's Health Journal. 2019;9(4):533-41.
- Vitale SG, Laganà AS, Török P, Lasmar RB, Carugno J, Palumbo M, et al. Virtual sonographic hysteroscopy in assisted reproduction: A retrospective cost-effectiveness analysis. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2022;156(1):112-8.
- Zargar M, Ghafourian M, Nikbakht R, Hosseini VM, Choghakabodi PM. Evaluating chronic endometritis in women with recurrent implantation failure and recurrent pregnancy loss by hysteroscopy and immunohistochemistry. Journal of minimally invasive gynecology. 2020;27(1):116-21.
- Mortazavi H, Sadeghian A, Hazrati P, Heydari M-H, Madihi S. Oral hemorrhagic blister and its possible related factors: Analyses of reported cases in the literature. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Medicine, and Pathology. 2023.
- Bandarian M, Ebrahimi N, Bandarian F, Keikha F. Prevalence of Chronic Endometritis in Infertile Women Candidates for In-vitro Fertilization and Diagnostic Value of Hysteroscopy in its Diagnosis (Tehran, Iran, 2019-2020). Journal of Nursing and Midwifery Sciences. 2023(In Press).
- Monteiro CS, Cavallo IK, Dias JA, Pereira FA, Reis FM. Uterine alterations in women undergoing routine hysteroscopy before in vitro fertilization: high prevalence of unsuspected lesions. JBRA Assisted Reproduction. 2019;23(4):396.
- Moscowchi A, Moradian-Lotfi S, Koohi H, Sarrafan Sadeghi T. Levels of smoking and outcome measures of root coverage procedures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2023.
- Sofi-Mahmudi A, Masinaei M, Shamsoddin E, Tovani-Palone MR, Heydari M-H, Shoaee S, et al. Global, regional, and national burden and quality of care index (QCI) of lip and oral cavity cancer: a systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 1990-2017. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):1-11.
- Rezaeiye RD, Mehrara A, Pour AMA, Fallahi J, Forouhari S. Impact of various parameters as predictors of the success rate of in vitro fertilization. International Journal of Fertility & Sterility. 2022;16(2):76.
- Moustafa S, Rosen E, Goodman L. Patient and provider satisfaction with saline ultrasound versus office hysteroscopy for uterine cavity evaluation prior to in vitro fertilization: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics. 2021;38:627-34.
- Pounikar M, Shrivastava D, Sharma S, Tadghare J. Role of Hysteroscopy in Patients with Previous In Vitro Fertilization Failure: An Institutional Experience in Rural Population. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India. 2023;73(1):77-82.

- Wang H, Liu C, Hao C. Association between hysteroscopic features of chronic endometritis and pregnancy outcomes of patients after in vitro fertilization: a retrospective cohort study. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2022;42(8):3651-7.
- Shoaee S, Masinaei M, Moghaddam SS, Sofi-Mahmudi A, Hessari H, Shamsoddin E, et al. National and Subnational Trend of Dental Caries of Permanent Teeth in Iran, 1990-2017. International Dental Journal. 2023.
- Wang C-W, Kuo C-Y, Chen C-H, Hsieh Y-H, Su EC-Y. Predicting clinical pregnancy using clinical features and machine learning algorithms in in vitro fertilization. Plos one. 2022;17(6):e0267554.
- Abid HB, Fekih M, Fathallah K, Chachia S, Bibi M, Khairi H. Office hysteroscopy before first in vitro fertilization. A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction. 2021;50(7):102109.
- Aharon D, Sekhon L, Lee JA, Ascher-Walsh C, Copperman AB. Optimal interval of time from operative hysteroscopy to embryo transfer in an in vitro fertilization cycle. Journal of minimally invasive gynecology. 2019;26(6):1083-7. e1.
- Shoaee S, Saeedi Moghaddam S, Masinaei M, Sofi-Mahmudi A, Hessari H, Heydari M-H, et al. Trends in dental caries of deciduous teeth in Iran: a systematic analysis of the national and sub-national data from 1990 to 2017. BMC Oral Health. 2022;22(1):634.
- Tanacan A, Mumusoglu S, Yarali H, Bozdag G. The effect of performing hysteroscopy prior to the first in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycle on live birth rate. Gynecological Endocrinology. 2019;35(5):443-7.
- Ou Y-C, Huang K-H, Lan K-C. Hysteroscopic cervical features associated with difficult embryo transfer in unselected patients undergoing in vitro fertilization. biomedical journal. 2022;45(3):557-64.
- Okohue JE. Hysteroscopy findings in women with thin endometrium scheduled for in vitro fertilization in Niger Delta Region, Nigeria. African Journal of Reproductive Health. 2020;24(2):123-8.
- Shoaee S, Rezaie F, Payab M, Bakhtiari F, Heydari M-H. Experiences from the management of COVID-19 pandemic in a nursing home in Iran (March-April, 2020). Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders. 2022;21(1):1195-9.
- Omu AE. Sperm parameters: paradigmatic index of good health and longevity. Med Princ Pract. 2013;22 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):30-42.
- Kamath MS, Bosteels J, D'Hooghe TM, Seshadri S, Weyers S, Mol BWJ, et al. Screening hysteroscopy in subfertile women and women undergoing assisted reproduction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;4(4):Cd012856.
- Moini A, Kiani K, Ghaffari F, Hosseini F. Hysteroscopic findings in patients with a history of two implantation failures following in vitro fertilization. Int J Fertil Steril. 2012;6(1):27-30.
- Rigos I, Athanasiou V, Vlahos N, Papantoniou N, Profer D, Siristatidis C. The Addition of Endometrial Injury to Freeze-All Strategy in Women with Repeated Implantation Failures. J Clin Med. 2021;10(10).
- Mooney SB, Milki AA. Effect of hysteroscopy performed in the cycle preceding controlled ovarian hyperstimulation on the outcome of in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril. 2003;79(3):637-8.

- Zikopoulos A, Galani A, Siristatidis C, Georgiou I, Mastora E, Paraskevaidi M, et al. Is Hysteroscopy Prior to IVF Associated with an Increased Probability of Live Births in Patients with Normal Transvaginal Scan Findings after Their First Failed IVF Trial? J Clin Med. 2022;11(5).
- El-Toukhy T, Campo R, Khalaf Y, Tabanelli C, Gianaroli L, Gordts SS, et al. Hysteroscopy in recurrent in-vitro fertilisation failure (TROPHY): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016;387(10038):2614-21.
- Crosignani PG, Rubin BL. Optimal use of infertility diagnostic tests and treatments. The ESHRE Capri Workshop Group. Hum Reprod. 2000;15(3):723-32.
- Ben Abid H, Fekih M, Fathallah K, Chachia S, Bibi M, Khairi H. Office hysteroscopy before first in vitro fertilization. A randomized controlled trial. J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod. 2021;50(7):102109.
- Pundir J, Pundir V, Omanwa K, Khalaf Y, El-Toukhy T. Hysteroscopy prior to the first IVF cycle: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Reprod Biomed Online. 2014;28(2):151-61.
- Bahadur A, Malhotra N, Singh N, Gurunath S, Mittal S. Comparative study on the role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in evaluation of the uterine cavity prior to in vitro fertilization in a developing country. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2013;288(5):1137-43.
- Bosteels J, van Wessel S, Weyers S, Broekmans FJ, D'Hooghe TM, Bongers MY, et al. Hysteroscopy for treating subfertility associated with suspected major uterine cavity abnormalities. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;12(12):Cd009461.
- Roig MC, Milán FP, Alonso L, Domínguez JA, Carugno T, Moratalla E, et al. A controversial old topic revisited: Should diagnostic hysteroscopy be routinely performed prior to the first IVF cycle? A systematic review and updated meta-analysis. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2023.
- Fadhlaoui A, Khediri Z, Khrouf M, Chaker A, Zhioua F. [Diagnostic hysteroscopy before the first in vitro fertilization. For whom?]. Tunis Med. 2013;91(5):310-6.
- El-Mazny A, Abou-Salem N, El-Sherbiny W, Saber W. Outpatient hysteroscopy: a routine investigation before assisted reproductive techniques? Fertil Steril. 2011;95(1):272-6.
- Smit JG, Kasius JC, Eijkemans MJC, Koks CAM, van Golde R, Nap AW, et al. Hysteroscopy before in-vitro fertilisation (inSIGHT): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016;387(10038):2622-9.
- Eserol F, Göksever Çelik H, Aytan AN, Çelik A, Çelik E, Buyru F, et al. The effect of diagnostic hysteroscopy performed before fresh and frozen-thawed embryo transfer in IVF cycles on reproductive outcomes. J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc. 2021;22(3):206-11.
- Mao X, Wu L, Chen Q, Kuang Y, Zhang S. Effect of hysteroscopy before starting in-vitro fertilization for women with recurrent implantation failure: A meta-analysis and systematic review. Medicine (Baltimore). 2019;98(7):e14075.



CONSORT 2010 Flow Diagram

